

Breastfeeding: the Heart of our Matter

The Maternity Protection Coalition – like the ILO, trade unions and the majority of States in the world – understands maternity protection as a social responsibility. If women are both to work and to have children in decent and healthy conditions, maternity protection is a necessity for all women. Providing paternity leave and parental leave are also important steps towards developing a more involved role for fathers. Financial benefits are vital; they enable women to continue providing basic necessities to the family while they are out of the workforce during their maternity leave. Job protection and non-discrimination are also a central aspect of maternity protection, because if women fear they will lose their jobs, they may fail to take sufficient leave time – to the detriment of their health and their baby’s health.

The goal of this MPC Campaign Kit is to help combine breastfeeding advocacy with advocacy for maternity protection. Breastfeeding advocacy has primarily come from the health and consumer communities, while maternity protection has been an issue more for the economic and labour sectors, especially the trade unions. The adoption in 2000 of new ILO Maternity Protection instruments, Convention 183 and Recommendation 191, and the adoption in 2002 of the WHO/UNICEF Global Strategy on Infant and Young Child Feeding give activists new reasons to plan joint actions and to assure a place for breastfeeding on the maternity protection agenda.

The various stakeholders – government, trade unions and employers – must be informed about the importance of breastfeeding. Their support plays a central role to enable women to follow the recommendations of the WHO/UNICEF Global Strategy: to breastfeed exclusively during a child’s first six months, then continue breastfeeding while giving safe and adequate complementary foods until age two or beyond¹. A key step toward this goal is to ensure that the minimum standards set by the International Labour Organization are implemented at the workplace.

It is clear that the founders of the ILO in 1919 understood that breastfeeding is an integral part of motherhood, and thus deserves protection at the workplace. Social and economic trends since 1919 have reduced breastfeeding rates, and in turn led to a loss of the shared understanding about the lives of breastfeeding women that comes from everyday contact within the family, the neighbourhood, and the workplace. At the heart of this kit are several tools that can be used to inform the stakeholders and thus assist in the re-building of community knowledge about the real lives and needs of breastfeeding women at work.

- Scientific evidence for the health and economic value of breastfeeding is continually expanding. **Breastfeeding: Everyone Benefits** is a recent summary (see page 3).

1. WHA55.15 (2002): “10...As a global public health recommendation, infants should be exclusively breastfed for the first six months of life to achieve optimal growth, development and health. Thereafter, to meet their evolving nutritional requirements, infants should receive nutritionally adequate and safe complementary foods while breastfeeding continues for up to two years of age or beyond “ The Global Strategy recommendation is based on Resolution WHA54.2 (2001), which itself is based on the review of scientific data on the optimal duration of breastfeeding.

- The workplace was identified as one of four target areas for breastfeeding advocacy in the Innocenti Declaration, adopted in 1990 by participants at a WHO/UNICEF policymakers' meeting.
- **Women, Work and Breastfeeding: Everybody benefits!** This World Breastfeeding Week (WBW) Action Folder was the basic document used by WABA to launch the campaign in 1993 and is still on going.
- **Steps towards a People-friendly Workplace** is a pamphlet that WABA developed in conjunction with Human Resources experts. It is targeted to employers.
- In **WHA Resolutions** there are excerpts of important World Health Assembly documents.
 - 1) **WHA 34.22 – The Code** summarises the eleven articles of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes from 1981.
 - 2) **Subsequent WHA Resolutions - 1982-2001** reviews relevant resolutions from the biennial discussions of infant and child feeding at the WHA.
 - 3) A brief description of the **WHO/UNICEF Global Strategy on Infant and Young Child Feeding** is given, and there are excerpts from the text that refer to maternity protection.
- Two interventions from the 2000 meeting of the ILO Committee on Maternity Protection are included here: the **UNICEF Statement to the ILO Conference 2000** and the **WHO Statement to the ILO Conference 2000**. They contain evidence-based recommendations concerning the health and human rights of women and children.
- **Every Woman's Right to Breastfeed** is a pamphlet that details the basis for considering breastfeeding as a human right that can be found in international human rights instruments.
- **Breastfeeding: Who benefits? Who pays?** is a handout for employers and policy-makers that briefly explores the costs and benefits of supporting breastfeeding in the workplace.
- **Breastfeeding and the Workplace** describes in detail the conditions that are needed to support breastfeeding employees at the worksite.

A note about numbers

The careful reader will note that the recommendations often vary from one document to the next. Two obvious examples are the number of weeks of maternity leave and the recommended length of exclusive breastfeeding. In addition, an individual mother-baby pair may have needs that fall short or go beyond the length of time recommended as a universal rule.

The ILO standard of 14 weeks for maternity leave is a *minimum*. As long as so many countries still fall short of the minimum, then 14 weeks may have to be the primary goal. However, the general rule for maternity leave is: *longer is better for breastfeeding*. Flexibility is helpful, too. Some babies adapt easily to change, while others are more challenging.

In 2000, WHO commissioned a thorough review of published scientific literature on the optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding. The conclusions led to WHA to recommend six months of exclusive breastfeeding as a global public health recommendation (WHA54.2, 2001 and Global Strategy, 2002). This is the most recent global recommendation on exclusive breastfeeding. It should be noted however, that many concerned parties are not aware of it and continue advising shorter duration (four to six months, for example).

Breastfeeding: Everyone benefits

Breastfeeding has been found to be vital for child survival and is also beneficial for mothers' health. Breastfeeding contributes positively to the nation's economy, to employers, families and communities.

Here is some basic information about the benefits of breastfeeding which will be useful for educating allies or convincing opponents in a campaign.

Breastfeeding benefits for Children

Before a baby is born, the uterus protects him or her from most of the germs to which the mother is exposed. After birth, the mother's breastmilk continues to protect against many of the viruses, bacteria and

parasites to which the baby is now exposed. Several substances in breastmilk not only prevent diseases; some stimulate and strengthen the development of the baby's immature immune system. This results in better health, even years after breastfeeding has ended. For these and other reasons, based on scientific evidence, the World Health Assembly has adopted, as a public health recommendation, that babies should be fed exclusively on breastmilk for six months and continue breastfeeding at least until two years of age (1).

Breastfeeding promotes child survival:

- *“If all babies were fed only breastmilk for the first six months of life, the lives of an estimated 1.5 million infants would be saved every year and the health and development of millions of others would be greatly improved,”* states UNICEF in its 2002 edition of *Facts for Life* (2).
- In resource-poor settings, exclusive breastfeeding may be the best option for HIV-positive mothers (3).
- Breastfeeding is an essential means of providing food security for millions of infants worldwide (4), and even more so in developing countries and in regions having to cope with war, conflict, population displacement, natural disasters, or economic crises.

Breastfeeding reduces the incidence of infectious diseases:

- *Otitis media:* Middle ear infections are one of the most frequent reasons for seeing the doctor. In a US study, infants from birth to twelve months who were not breastfed had twice as many ear infections as babies who were exclusively breastfed for about four months (5).
- *Diarrhoea:* The antibodies in a mother's milk protect her baby from the germs causing diarrhoea. In poor communities, diarrhoea caused by bottle-feeding is responsible for acute sickness. The cycle of illness, dehydration and malnutrition weakens the child, often fatally. A study from the Republic of Belarus shows that infants exclusively breastfeeding at three months have 40% less risk of developing gastrointestinal infections (6).

- *Pneumonia:* Worldwide, pneumonia is one of the leading causes of death in children under five years of age. A study in Brazil showed that the risk of hospitalisation for pneumonia among non-breastfed infants was 17 times greater than that for breastfed infants (7).

Breastfeeding reduces the risk of asthma and other allergies:

- In Australia, risk of childhood asthma decreases by at least 40% in infants breastfed for four months (8).
- A Medline review of twelve studies relating breastfeeding and asthma points out that exclusive breastfeeding reduced the risk of asthma by 30%, and showed still better results (48%) in families with a history of asthma-related illnesses (9).

Breastfeeding improves IQ outcomes:

- In Denmark, a recent study confirmed that breastfeeding affects brain development as measured in the child's ability to crawl, to grip and to babble in polysyllables: the longer the duration of breastfeeding, the higher the child's capacities (10).

Long-term effects of breastfeeding on health:

- *Bone mass:* In Tanzania, a study demonstrated that there was significant association between breastfeeding in infancy and higher bone mineral density among the eight year-old boys examined, in comparison with children that had not been breastfed (11).
- *Haemophilus influenzae meningitis:* In Sweden, a study showed that low breastfeeding rates were followed, five to ten years later, by increased meningitis rates (12).
- *Obesity:* In a number of countries (Germany, Czech Republic, the UK, the USA) research demonstrates that breastfeeding reduces the risk of obesity and overweight (13).

Benefits for Mothers

Breastfeeding is an integral part of the reproductive cycle: exclusive breastfeeding, followed at six months by the introduction of appropriate complementary

foods, and continued breastfeeding until the age of two years or more, completes this cycle. Studies have shown that there are many women for whom contraception is unavailable, unaffordable or unacceptable. For these women, breastfeeding (according to the LAM criteria mentioned below) is the primary means of delaying pregnancy and spacing births. Moreover, breastfeeding develops emotional and psychological well-being in mothers, and has numerous health advantages.

Breastfeeding helps in spacing children:

- As long as a mother breastfeeds fully or nearly fully and as long as her periods have not returned, her protection against pregnancy during the first six months is 98 % (14). This family planning method is called the Lactational Amenorrhea Method - LAM.

Breastfeeding results in the reduction of anaemia:

- In the first hours and days after birth, early breastfeeding brings about uterine contractions, preventing excessive blood loss.
- Over the months, breastfeeding reduces the frequency and severity of anaemia by delaying the return of the monthly period and helping the mother build her iron reserves (15).

Long-term effects of breastfeeding on mothers' health:

- *Breast cancer:* Studies from the US, China, Japan, New Zealand, the UK and Mexico show that women who breastfed their children have reduced risk of developing breast cancer and that the risk declines with increased duration of breastfeeding (16).
- *Ovarian cancer:* Breastfeeding for at least two months per child decreases the mother's risk of developing epithelial ovarian cancer (17).
- *Osteoporosis:* The risk of hip fracture amongst women over 65 is reduced by half for those who have breastfed. It decreases by another quarter for those who have breastfed each of their children at least nine months (18).

Benefits for Families

Preparing for the arrival of the new baby, undergoing the birth process, and adapting to the child's first months are among the most extraordinary, testing, and emotional periods that parents and families experience. Loving, caring for, nurturing, but also worrying about one's child are normal feelings and are sometimes overwhelming. Any illness takes an emotional toll on families; sickness in a newborn baby or a working mother causes even more worry. Health care costs are constantly increasing and can represent considerable strain on the family budget.

Breastfeeding strengthens family ties:

- Studies have shown the emotional and psychological importance, as well as the bonding effects of breastfeeding to both mother and child. The importance of bonding is even greater when mothers return to work.
- Breastfeeding develops a mother's confidence in her physical and emotional capacities (19).

Breastfeeding brings economic benefits and helps to save time:

- Savings on the purchase of breastmilk substitutes and other feeding equipment.
- Less spending on medical care and medication.
- Less spending on birth control methods.
- Less time preparing bottles, including fetching water, fuel, and cleaning utensils.
- Less time and worry spent on having to care for illnesses that could often be avoided.

Benefits for Employers

When infants and children are sick, mothers or fathers often stay home to care for them. National laws may allow parents to take holiday leave or to call in sick themselves. This absenteeism is costly to employers – and to national budgets for health care. Moreover, many employed women have only a short period of paid maternity leave. If they want to breastfeed their babies, it is important to set up favourable conditions at the workplace. Many labour laws provide paid or unpaid daily breastfeeding breaks, and many employers set up breastfeeding facilities on-site for

their female workers. Adequate hygienic facilities for breastfeeding or expressing and storing breastmilk are relatively easy and inexpensive to provide.

Breastfeeding reduces staff absenteeism:

- Studies in the USA and elsewhere have shown that breastfed babies had statistically fewer episodes of illness than formula-fed infants and that mothers of breastfed babies were less absent (only 25% of one-day maternal absences) than mothers of bottle-fed babies (20).

Breastfeeding contributes to more stable workforce :

- Employers who support their female employees (maternity benefits, breastfeeding breaks, rest periods...) note improved staff morale, less turnover and increased loyalty to the enterprise.

Benefits for Society

Breastmilk is a living substance. It is unique and non-replaceable, specifically tailored to the changing needs of each baby. It is the first human food *par excellence*, the best example of how humanity can sustain itself through provision of a complete food for human babies. Breastfeeding also makes economic sense because it is less costly to produce than formula; it also allows society to make considerable savings in health care costs.

Breastfeeding helps to protect the environment:

- Ecological in its production, consumption and disposal, it is a natural and renewable resource.
- Less industrial production, transportation, packaging, and disposal pollution: breastfeeding produces hardly any waste.

Breastfeeding results in overall economic benefits:

- Nations can save huge amounts on the purchase and distribution of commercial breastmilk substitutes (often in foreign exchange). In India for example, at the national level, women produce approximately 3,900 million litres of milk over a two-year period (which corresponds to the usual

lactation period of Indian mothers). If the milk had been purchased in the form of tinned cows milk, it would have cost close to US\$3 billion, or more than three times the combined budgets of the Departments of Education, Health and Family Welfare, and Science and Technology during that same period of time. In Guatemala, annual spending on breastmilk substitutes amounts to approximately US\$48 million (21).

- Savings on health care expenses for preventable acute and chronic illnesses: an Australian study calculated that if breastfeeding at three months of age increased in prevalence from 60% to 80%, Australian \$3.7 million would be saved on treating gastro-intestinal diseases alone (22). Researchers in Newfoundland, Canada, estimated that improved prevalence of breastfeeding could save the province up to Canadian \$370,000 per year on the care of babies with asthma and eczema (23).

As the benefits of breastfeeding have a positive impact on all levels of society, it is all the more important that the responsibility for supporting women to breastfeed optimally is carried by all of society.

Acknowledgements

IBFAN, "What Scientific Research Says ...", *IBFAN Action Pack*, December 1998.

INFACT Canada, "The Benefits of Breastfeeding", *World Breastfeeding Week Kit*, 2001.

International Women Count Network, "Breastfeeding: A Global Fact Sheet", 1999.

Nurture – Centre to Prevent Childhood Malnutrition, "A Guide to Assessing the Economic Value of Breastfeeding", 1990.

UNICEF, "Breastfeeding, the Foundation for a Healthy Future", New York, August 1999.

UNICEF, *Facts for Life*, 3rd edition, 2002.

WABA, "Women, Work and Breastfeeding: everyone benefits", World Breastfeeding Week Action Folder 1993.

WABA, "Breastfeeding: Nature's way, World Breastfeeding Week Action Folder 1997.

WABA, "Breastfeeding: the best investment", World Breastfeeding Week Action Folder 1998.

Notes

1. *Infant and young child nutrition*, 18 May 2001, WHA54.2, "...to protect, promote and support exclusive breastfeeding for six months as a global health recommendation, taking into account the findings of the WHO expert consultation on optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding, and to provide safe and appropriate complementary foods, with continued breastfeeding for up to two years of age or beyond...".
2. UNICEF, *Facts for Life*, New York, 3rd edition, 2002, p. 39.
3. Coutoudis A, Pillay K, Spooner E, Kuhn L, Coovadia HM, "Influences of infant-feeding patterns on early mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Durban, South Africa: a prospective cohort study", *The Lancet*, 354: 471-476, 1999.
4. *World Declaration and Plan of Action for Nutrition* of the International Conference on Nutrition, Rome, 1991. Article 30 of the *Plan of Action* states that "Breastfeeding is the most secure means of assuring food security of infants and should be promoted and protected through appropriate policies and programmes." See also Armstrong H, "Breastfeeding as the foundation of care", *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, UNU Press, 16:4, 299-312, 1995.
5. Duncan B *et al.*, "Exclusive breastfeeding for at least 4 months protects against otitis media", *Pediatrics*, 91(5): 867-872, 1993.
6. Kramer MS *et al.*, "A Randomized Trial in the Republic of Belarus. Promotion of Breastfeeding Intervention Trial (PROBIT)", *JAMA*, 285: 413-420, 2001.
7. Cesar JA, Victora CG, Barros FC, Santos IS, Flores JA, "Impact of Breast Feeding on Admission for Pneumonia during Post-neonatal Period in Brazil: nested case-control study", *British Medical Journal*, 318: 1316-1320, 1999.
8. Oddy WH *et al.*, "Association between breast feeding and asthma in the 6-year old child: findings of a prospective cohort study", *British Medical Journal*, 319: 815-818, 1999.
9. Gdalevich M, Mimouni D, Mimouni M, "Breastfeeding and the Risk of Bronchial Asthma in Childhood: a systematic review with meta-analysis of prospective studies", *J. Pediatr*, 139: 261-266, 2001. Other studies on asthma and breastfeeding: Karanasekera KA, Jayasinghe JA, Alwis LW, "Risk Factors of Childhood Asthma: a Sri-Lankan study", *J Trop Pediatr*, 47: 142-145, 2001. Romieu I, Werneck G, Ruiz Vaelasco S, White M, Hernandez M, "Breastfeeding and Asthma among Brazilian Children", *J Asthma*, 37: 575-583, 2000. Wright AL, Holberg CJ, Taussig LM, Martinez FD, "Factors Influencing the Relation of Infant Feeding to Asthma and Recurrent Wheeze in Childhood", *Thorax*, 56: 192-197, 2001.
10. Vestergaard M, Obel C, Henriksen TB, Sorensen HT, Skajaa E, Ostergaard J, "Duration of Breastfeeding and Developmental Milestones during the Latter Half of Infancy", *Acta Paediatrica*, 88: 1327-1332, 1999. Other studies: Horwood LJ *et al.*, "Breastfeeding and Later Cognitive Development and Academic Outcomes", *Pediatrics*, 101, 1998. Lucas A *et al.*, "Breastmilk and Subsequent Intelligent Quotient in Children Born Premature", *The Lancet*, 339: 261-264, 1992.
11. Jones G, Riley M, Dwyer T, "Breastfeeding in Early Life and Bone Mass in Prepubertal Children: a longitudinal study", *Osteoporos Int*, 11: 146-152, 2000.

12. Silfverdal SA, Bodin L, Olcen P, "Protective Effect of Breastfeeding: an ecologic study of Haemophilus influenzae meningitis and breastfeeding in a Swedish population", *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 28: 152-156, 1999.
13. Kries R von, Koletzko B, Sauerwald T, Mutius E von, Barnert D, Gruneert V, Voss H von, "Breastfeeding and Obesity: cross sectional study", *British Medical Journal*, 319: 1547-1550, 1999. Also: Vignerova J, Lhodska L, Blaha P, Roth Z, "Growth of the Czech Child Population 0-18 Years Compared to World Health Organisation Growth Reference", *American Journal of Human Biology*, 9: 459-468, 1997. WHO, "Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic", *Report of a WHO Consultation, WHO Technical Report Series 894*, 2000. Armstrong J, Reilly JJ *et al.*, "Breastfeeding and Lowering the Risk of Childhood Obesity", *The Lancet*, 359 (9322), 2002.
14. Kennedy KI, Visness CM, "Contraceptive Efficacy of Lactational Amenorrhea", *The Lancet*, 339: 227-230, 1992.
15. American Academy of Pediatrics, "Breastfeeding and the Use of Human Milk", *Pediatrics*, 100:1035-9, 1997.
16. Furberg H *et al.*, "Lactation and Breast Cancer Risk", *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 28: 396-402, 1999. Also: Chang-Claude J, Eby N, Kiechle M, Bastert G, Becher H, "Breastfeeding and Breast Cancer Risk by Age 50 among Women in Germany", *Cancer Causes Control*, 11: 687-695, 2000. United Kingdom National Case-Control Study Group, "Breastfeeding and Risk of Breast Cancer in Young Women", *British Medical Journal*, 307: 17-20, 1993. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer, "Breast cancer and breastfeeding. Collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 47 epidemiological studies in 30 countries, including 50 302 women with breast cancer and 96 973 women without the disease", *The Lancet*, 360: 187-195, 2002.
17. Rosenblatt KA *et al.*, "Lactation and the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer", *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 22(2): 192-197, 1993.
18. Comings RG, Klineberg RJ, "Breastfeeding and Other Reproductive Factors in the Risk of Hip Fracture in Elderly Women", *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 2(4): 684-691, 1993.
19. Locklin M, "Telling the world: low income women and their breastfeeding experiences", *JHumLact* 11(4), 285-291, 1995.
20. Cohen R, Mrtek MB, Mrtek RG, "Comparison of Maternal Absenteeism and Infant Illness Rates among Breastfeeding and Formula-feeding Women in Two Corporations", *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 10(2): 148-53, 1995.
21. Gupta A, Khanna K, "Economic Value of Breastfeeding in India", *National Medical Journal of India*, 12 (3): 123-127, 1999. Also: CONAPLAM (Guatemalan National Commission for the Promotion of Breastfeeding), *Lactancia Materna en Guatemala*, 1999.
22. Drane D, "Breastfeeding and Formula Feeding: a preliminary economic analysis", *Breastfeeding Review*, 5:1, 7-17, 1997.
23. Marini A *et al.*, "Effects of a Dietary and Environmental Prevention Programme on the Incidence of Allergic Symptoms in High Atopic Risk Infants: three years follow-up", *Acta Paediatrica Supplement*, 414: 1-22, 1996. Also: Ball TM, Wright AL, "Health Care Costs of Formula-feeding in the First Year of Life", *Pediatrics*, 103:4, p. 874, 1995.

